By: Truan

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

- -12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1-16-85 S.c.r. no. 14

# ^ CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez, a 19th century inhabitant of San Patricio County and the only woman ever officially executed in the State of Texas, may have been wrongfully convicted of the crime for which she was executed; and WHEREAS, An elderly woman, she lived near an Aransas River crossing in a cabin that served also as an inn for trail riders seeking food and lodging; and WHEREAS, On August 25, 1863, downstream from her cabin where he presumably had spent the night, ranchers found the murdered body John Savage, a horse trader who was passing through the vicinity after having completed a sale of horses to Confederate troops; and WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez and another defendant, Mr. Juan Silvera, were accused of the murder and taken into custody at the nearby town of San Patricio; and WHEREAS, A grand jury indicted the pair on October 7, 1863; two days later a trial was held; Chipita Rodriguez was found guilty of murder in the first degree, and Juan Silvera was found guilty of murder in the second degree; and

WHEREAS, On October 10, 1863, despite the trial jury's recommendation for mercy on account of "her old age and the circumstantial evidence against her," Chipita Rodriguez sentenced to death; Juan Silvera received a lesser sentence of five years' hard labor at the state penitentiary; and '/

2

1	WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez was executed by hanging in San
2	Patricio on November 13, 1863; and
3	WHEREAS, Surviving court records, as well as accounts and
4	legends perpetuated by her contemporaries and their descendants,
5	cast great doubt on the propriety of her indictment and trial and
6	hence on the validity of her conviction; and
7	WHEREAS, Selection of the grand jury and trial jury was in
8	violation of explicit procedures established by law by the 7th
9	Legislature in 1858, and among other apparent irregularities, the
10	sheriff who arrested Chipita Rodriguez served as the grand jury
11	foreman; and
12	WHEREAS, The quoted statement of the trial jury testifies as
13	to the largely circumstantial nature of the evidence against her;
14	and
15	WHEREAS, Arraignment, trial, and conviction aly occurred
16	within a single day, a rush to judgment that, even for 19th century
17	Texas, was highly unusual; and
18	WHEREAS, In contrast, other murder and criminal cases then
19	pending in the judicial district commonly were granted continuances
20	to subsequent court terms; and
21	WHEREAS, The volatile nature of the communityinvolving, on
22	the one side, vigilantes who had attempted to lynch the two
23	defendants, and on the other side, townspeople who sympathized with
24	them and who jeered the verdictmay have prompted a hasty decision
25	in the case when a change of venue might have been preferable from
26	the standpoint of justice; and $\frac{1}{2}$
27	WHEREAS, Motive on the part of Chipita Rodriguez appears

- 1 unsubstantiated; \$600 in gold carried by the victim in his saddle
- 2 pack was found, prior to the trial, alongside the river where his
- 3 body was discovered; and
- 4 WHEREAS, Before pronouncement of the sentence, defendant's
- 5 counsel withdrew a prior motion to appeal, thereby denying Chipita
- 6 Rodriguez the exhaustion of legal remedies that would have been
- 7 sought by more competent and more dedicated counsel; and
- 8 WHEREAS, The judge presiding over the case denied her the
- 9 advantage of mercy recommended to the court by the trial jury; and
- 10 WHEREAS, She twice pled innocent to the murder charge  $\mathcal{N}$  and
- ll later during her imprisonment is reported to have confided to a
- visitor that she was protecting a son who she feared had committed
- 13 the crime; and
- 14 WHEREAS, Journalistic accounts in area newspapers over the
- 15 past quarter century have raised issues that have undermined the
- 16 verdict that was rendered against Chipita Rodriguez; and
- WHEREAS, The present prosecutor for San Patricio County,
- having independently investigated these issues, has concluded that
- 19 she did not receive a fair trial and hence was executed without
- 20 benefit of due process of law; and
- 21 WHEREAS, In 1978, the Ladies Auxiliary of the American G.I.
- 22 Forum requested a pardon from Governor Dolph Briscoe; and
- 23 WHEREAS, A ruling by the attorney general on that occasion
- 24 held, in accordance with previous opinions and court decisions,
- 25 that pardons by the governor were prohibited in the cases of dead
- 26 persons; and
- 27 WHEREAS, Legislation to allow a new trial is impractical

- 1 because of incomplete records and because of similar procedural
- 2 irregularities in other older cases whose reconsideration would
- 3 burden modern courts with redressing judicial wrongs from
- 4 yesteryear; and
- 5 WHEREAS, Symbolic redress in the case of Chipita Rodriguez is
- 6 available by means of concurrent legislative resolution; now,
- 7 therefore, be it
- 8 RESOLVED, That the 69th Legislature of the State of Texas,
- 9 finding that Chipita Rodriguez was executed without benefit of the
- 10 due process guaranteed citizens of this state by the constitutions
- of the United States and of Texas, hereby absolves her of proven
- 12 guilt in the murder of John Savage that occurred in San Patricio
- 13 County in 1863; and, be it further
- 14 RESOLVED, That an official copy of this resolution be
- forwarded to the district clerk for San Patricio County; and, be it
- 16 further
- 17 RESOLVED, That the legislature direct the clerk to place the
- 18 resolution in the court records for the case, as evidence of the
- 19 ultimate absolution conferred on Chipita Rodriguez by the
- 20 Legislature of the State of Texas.

By: Truan S.C.R. No. 14

(In the Senate - Filed January 16, 1985; January 21, 1985, read first time and referred to Committee on Jurisprudence; March 6, 1985, reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; March 6, 1985, sent to printer.)

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR S.C.R. No. 14

 By: Mauzy

### SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez, a 19th Century inhabitant of San Patricio County and the only woman ever officially executed in the State of Texas, may have been wrongfully convicted of the crime for which she was executed; and

WHEREAS, An elderly woman, she lived near an Aransas River crossing in a cabin that served also as an inn for trail riders seeking food and lodging; and

seeking food and lodging; and
WHEREAS, On August 25, 1863, downstream from her cabin where
he presumably had spent the night, ranchers found the murdered body
of Mr. John Savage, a horse trader who was passing through the
vicinity after having completed a sale of horses to Confederate
troops; and

WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez and another defendant, Mr. Juan Silvera, were accused of the murder and taken into custody at the nearby town of San Patricio; and

nearby town of San Patricio; and

WHEREAS, A grand jury indicted the pair on October 7, 1863;
two days later a trial was held; Chipita Rodriguez was found guilty
of murder in the first degree, and Juan Silvera was found guilty of
murder in the second degree; and

WHEREAS, On October 10, 1863, despite the trial jury's recommendation for mercy on account of "her old age and the circumstantial evidence against her," Chipita Rodriguez was sentenced to death; Juan Silvera received a lesser sentence of five years' hard labor at the state penitentiary; and

WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez was executed by hanging in San Patricio on November 13, 1863; and

WHEREAS, Surviving court records, as well as accounts and legends perpetuated by her contemporaries and their descendants, cast great doubt on the propriety of her indictment and trial and hence on the validity of her conviction; and

hence on the validity of her conviction; and
WHEREAS, Selection of the grand jury and trial jury was
thought to be in violation of explicit procedures established by
laws by the 7th Legislature in 1858, and among other apparent
irregularities, the sheriff who arrested Chipita Rodriguez served
as the grand jury foreman; and

WHEREAS, The quoted statement of the trial jury testifies as to the largely circumstantial nature of the evidence against her; and

WHEREAS, Arraignment, trial, and conviction occurred within a single day, a rush to judgment that, even for 19th Century Texas, was highly unusual; and

WHEREAS, In contrast, other murder and criminal cases then pending in the judicial district commonly were granted continuances to subsequent court terms; and

WHEREAS, The volatile nature of the community--involving, on the one side, vigilantes who had attempted to lynch the two defendants, and on the other side, townspeople who sympathized with them and who jeered the verdict--may have prompted a hasty decision in the case when a change of venue might have been preferable from the standpoint of justice; and

WHEREAS, Motive on the part of Chipita Rodriguez appears unsubstantiated; \$600 in gold carried by the victim in his saddle pack was found, prior to the trial, alongside the river where his body was discovered; and

WHEREAS, Before pronouncement of the sentence, defendant's counsel withdrew a prior motion to appeal, thereby denying Chipita Rodriguez the exhaustion of legal remedies that would have been sought by more competent and more dedicated counsel; and

WHEREAS, The judge presiding over the case denied her the advantage of mercy recommended to the court by the trial jury; and WHEREAS, She twice pled innocent to the murder charge and

C.S.S.C.R. No. 14

later during her imprisonment is reported to have confided to a visitor that she was protecting a son who she feared had committed the crime; and

WHEREAS, Journalistic accounts in area newspapers over the past quarter century have raised issues that have undermined the verdict that was rendered against Chipita Rodriguez; and

WHEREAS, The present prosecutor for San Patricio County, having independently investigated these issues, has concluded that she did not receive a fair trial and hence was executed without benefit of due process of law; and

benefit of due process of law; and
WHEREAS, In 1978, the Ladies Auxiliary of the American G.I.
Forum requested a pardon from Governor Dolph Briscoe; and

WHEREAS, A ruling by the attorney general on that occasion held, in accordance with previous opinions and court decisions, that pardons by the governor were prohibited in the cases of dead persons; and

WHEREAS, Legislation to allow a new trial is impractical because of incomplete records and because of similar procedural irregularities in other older cases whose reconsideration would burden modern courts with redressing judicial wrongs from yesteryear; and

WHEREAS, Symbolic redress in the case of Chipita Rodriguez is available by means of concurrent legislative resolution; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the 69th Legislature of the State of Texas express its sympathy with the heirs and descendants of Chipita Rodriguez; and be it further

RESOLVED, That nothing contained in this resolution will be deemed to waive the state's immunity from suit or to create a cause of action against the state or any of its agents or instrumentalities; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That an official copy of this resolution be forwarded to the district clerk for San Patricio County; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the legislature direct the clerk to place the resolution in the court records for the case as an expression of the sentiment of the Texas Legislature regarding the case of Chipita Rodriguez.

39 \* \* \* \* \*

40 Austin, Texas 41 March 6, 1985

42 Hon. William P. Hobby 43 President of the Senate

44 Sir:

We, your Committee on Jurisprudence to which was referred S.C.R.
No. 14, have had the same under consideration, and I am instructed to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation that it do not pass, but that the Committee Substitute adopted in lieu thereof do pass and be printed.

Mauzy, Chairman

C.S.S.C.R. 14 BY: Truan

### BILL ANALYSIS

<u>PURPOSE</u>: To provide a means of symbolic redress in the case of Chipita Rodriguez.

Resolves that the 69th Legislature expresses its sympathy to the heirs and descendants of Chipita Rodriguez; that nothing in the resolution waives the State's immunity from suit or creates a cause of action against the State, its agents or instrumentalities; that an official copy of this resolution be forwarded to the district clerk of San Patricio County with the direction that the resolution be placed in the court records for the case as an expression of the sentiment of the Texas Legislature regarding the case of Chipita Rodriguez.

JB 3-5-85 As Substituted

Witnesses before the Senate Jurisprudence Committee meeting of 2/5/85:

Mr. Richard D. Hatch, representing himself, testified in favor of the resolution.

Mr. David Aken, representing San Patricio County, testified in favor of the resolution.

Mr. Lou McCreary, representing the Attorney General's Office, testified on the resolution.

Much 319 SEngrossed

Patay Daw

Engrossing Clerk

By: Truan S.C.R. No. 14

# SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

2 WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez, a 19th Century inhabitant of San
3 Patricio County and the only woman ever officially executed in the
4 State of Texas, may have been wrongfully convicted of the crime for
5 which she was executed; and

WHEREAS, An elderly woman, she lived near an Aransas River crossing in a cabin that served also as an inn for trail riders seeking food and lodging; and

WHEREAS, On August 25, 1863, downstream from her cabin where he presumably had spent the night, ranchers found the murdered body of Mr. John Savage, a horse trader who was passing through the vicinity after having completed a sale of horses to Confederate troops; and

WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez and another defendant, Mr. Juan Silvera, were accused of the murder and taken into custody at the nearby town of San Patricio; and

WHEREAS, A grand jury indicted the pair on October 7, 1863; two days later a trial was held; Chipita Rodriguez was found guilty of murder in the first degree, and Juan Silvera was found guilty of murder in the second degree; and

WHEREAS, On October 10, 1863, despite the trial jury's recommendation for mercy on account of "her old age and the circumstantial evidence against her," Chipita Rodriguez was sentenced to death; Juan Silvera received a lesser sentence of five years' hard labor at the state penitentiary; and

1 WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez was executed by hanging in San 2 Patricio on November 13, 1863; and

3 WHEREAS, Surviving court records, as well as accounts and 4 legends perpetuated by her contemporaries and their descendants, 5 cast great doubt on the propriety of her indictment and trial and

hence on the validity of her conviction; and

WHEREAS, Selection of the grand jury and trial jury was thought to be in violation of explicit procedures established by laws by the 7th Legislature in 1858, and among other apparent irregularities, the sheriff who arrested Chipita Rodriguez served as the grand jury foreman; and

WHEREAS, The quoted statement of the trial jury testifies as to the largely circumstantial nature of the evidence against her; and

WHEREAS, Arraignment, trial, and conviction occurred within a single day, a rush to judgment that, even for 19th Century Texas, was highly unusual; and

WHEREAS, In contrast, other murder and criminal cases then pending in the judicial district commonly were granted continuances to subsequent court terms; and

WHEREAS, The volatile nature of the community--involving, on the one side, vigilantes who had attempted to lynch the two defendants, and on the other side, townspeople who sympathized with them and who jeered the verdict--may have prompted a hasty decision in the case when a change of venue might have been preferable from the standpoint of justice; and

WHEREAS, Motive on the part of Chipita Rodriguez appears unsubstantiated; \$600 in gold carried by the victim in his saddle pack was found, prior to the trial, alongside the river where his body was discovered; and

WHEREAS, Before pronouncement of the sentence, defendant's counsel withdrew a prior motion to appeal, thereby denying Chipita Rodriguez the exhaustion of legal remedies that would have been sought by more competent and more dedicated counsel; and

WHEREAS, The judge presiding over the case denied her the advantage of mercy recommended to the court by the trial jury; and WHEREAS, She twice pled innocent to the murder charge and later during her imprisonment is reported to have confided to a visitor that she was protecting a son who she feared had committed the crime; and

WHEREAS, Journalistic accounts in area newspapers over the past\_quarter century have raised issues that have undermined the verdict that was rendered against Chipita Rodriguez; and

WHEREAS, The present prosecutor for San Patricio County, having independently investigated these issues, has concluded that she did not receive a fair trial and hence was executed without benefit of due process of law; and

WHEREAS, In 1978, the Ladies Auxiliary of the American G.I.
Forum requested a pardon from Governor Dolph Briscoe; and

WHEREAS, A ruling by the attorney general on that occasion held, in accordance with previous opinions and court decisions, that pardons by the governor were prohibited in the cases of dead

1 persons; and

4

5

8

11

14

15

18

21

WHEREAS, Legislation to allow a new trial is impractical

3 because of incomplete records and because of similar procedural

irregularities in other older cases whose reconsideration would

burden modern courts with redressing judicial wrongs from

6 yesteryear; and

7 WHEREAS, Symbolic redress in the case of Chipita Rodriguez is

available by means of concurrent legislative resolution; now,

9 therefore, be it

10 RESOLVED, That the 69th Legislature of the State of Texas

express its sympathy with the heirs and descendants of Chipita

12 Rodriguez; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That nothing contained in this resolution will be

deemed to waive the state's immunity from suit or to create a cause

of action against the state or any of its agents or

16 instrumentalities; and, be it further

17 RESOLVED, That an official copy of this resolution be

forwarded to the district clerk for San Patricio County; and, be it

19 further

20 RESOLVED, That the legislature direct the clerk to place the

resolution in the court records for the case as an expression of

22 the sentiment of the Texas Legislature regarding the case of

23 Chipita Rodriguez.

Patry paw

25

S.C.R. No. 14

2	WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez, a 19th Century inhabitant of San
3	Patricio County and the only woman ever officially executed in the
4	State of Texas, may have been wrongfully convicted of the crime for
5	which she was executed; and
6	WHEREAS, An elderly woman, she lived near an Aransas River
7	crossing in a cabin that served also as an inn for trail riders
8	seeking food and lodging; and
9	WHEREAS, On August 25, 1863, downstream from her cabin where
10	he presumably had spent the night, ranchers found the murdered body
11	of Mr. John Savage, a horse trader who was passing through the
12	vicinity after having completed a sale of horses to Confederate
13	troops; and
14	WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez and another defendant, Mr. Juan
15	Silvera, were accused of the murder and taken into custody at the
16	nearby town of San Patricio; and
17	WHEREAS, A grand jury indicted the pair on October 7, 1863;
18	two days later a trial was held; Chipita Rodriguez was found guilty
19	of murder in the first degree, and Juan Silvera was found guilty of
20	murder in the second degree; and
21	WHEREAS, On October 10, 1863, despite the trial jury's
22	recommendation for mercy on account of "her old age and the
23	circumstantial evidence against her," Chipita Rodriguez was
24	sentenced to death; Juan Silvera received a lesser sentence of five

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

years' hard labor at the state penitentiary; and

rice in the

1 WHEREAS, Chipita Rodriguez was executed by hanging in San

2 Patricio on November 13, 1863; and

3 WHEREAS, Surviving court records, as well as accounts and

4 legends perpetuated by her contemporaries and their descendants,

cast great doubt on the propriety of her indictment and trial and

hence on the validity of her conviction; and

WHEREAS, Selection of the grand jury and trial jury was

thought to be in violation of explicit procedures established by

laws by the 7th Legislature in 1858, and among other apparent

irregularities, the sheriff who arrested Chipita Rodriguez served

11 as the grand jury foreman; and

WHEREAS, The quoted statement of the trial jury testifies as

to the largely circumstantial nature of the evidence against her;

14 and

5

6

8

9

10

13

19

23

WHEREAS, Arraignment, trial, and conviction occurred within a

16 single day, a rush to judgment that, even for 19th Century Texas,

was highly unusual; and

18 WHEREAS, In contrast, other murder and criminal cases then

pending in the judicial district commonly were granted continuances

20 to subsequent court terms; and

21 WHEREAS, The volatile nature of the community--involving, on

22 the one side, vigilantes who had attempted to lynch the two

defendants, and on the other side, townspeople who sympathized with

them and who jeered the verdict--may have prompted a hasty decision

25 in the case when a change of venue might have been preferable from

26 the standpoint of justice; and

- was little to

11:in

WHEREAS, Motive on the part of Chipita Rodriguez appears unsubstantiated; \$600 in gold carried by the victim in his saddle pack was found, prior to the trial, alongside the river where his body was discovered; and

WHEREAS, Before pronouncement of the sentence, defendant's counsel withdrew a prior motion to appeal, thereby denying Chipita Rodriguez the exhaustion of legal remedies that would have been sought by more competent and more dedicated counsel; and

WHEREAS, The judge presiding over the case denied her the advantage of mercy recommended to the court by the trial jury; and WHEREAS, She twice pled innocent to the murder charge and later during her imprisonment is reported to have confided to a

visitor that she was protecting a son who she feared had committed

14 the crime; and

WHEREAS, Journalistic accounts in area newspapers over the past quarter century have raised issues that have undermined the verdict that was rendered against Chipita Rodriguez; and

WHEREAS, The present prosecutor for San Patricio County, having independently investigated these issues, has concluded that she did not receive a fair trial and hence was executed without benefit of due process of law; and

WHEREAS, In 1978, the Ladies Auxiliary of the American G.I.
Forum requested a pardon from Governor Dolph Briscoe; and

WHEREAS, A ruling by the attorney general on that occasion held, in accordance with previous opinions and court decisions, that pardons by the governor were prohibited in the cases of dead

"Religion de la companya della companya de la companya de la companya della compa

And the state of t

- Hee

- 1 persons; and
- 2 WHEREAS, Legislation to allow a new trial is impractical
- 3 because of incomplete records and because of similar procedural
- 4 irregularities in other older cases whose reconsideration would
- 5 burden modern courts with redressing judicial wrongs from
- 6 yesteryear; and
- 7 WHEREAS, Symbolic redress in the case of Chipita Rodriguez is
- 8 available by means of concurrent legislative resolution; now,
- 9 therefore, be it
- 10 RESOLVED, That the 69th Legislature of the State of Texas
- 11 express its sympathy with the heirs and descendants of Chipita
- 12 Rodriguez; and, be it further
- RESOLVED, That nothing contained in this resolution will be
- deemed to waive the state's immunity from suit or to create a cause
- 15 of action against the state or any of its agents or
- 16 instrumentalities; and, be it further
- 17 RESOLVED, That an official copy of this resolution be
- 18 forwarded to the district clerk for San Patricio County; and, be it
- 19 further
- 20 RESOLVED, That the legislature direct the clerk to place the
- 21 resolution in the court records for the case as an expression of
- 22 the sentiment of the Texas Legislature regarding the case of
- 23 Chipita Rodriguez.

1. cm

S.C.R. No. 14

President of the Senate

I hereby certify that S.C.R. No. 14 was adopted by the Senate on March 13, 1985.

Secretary of the Senate

I hereby certify that S.C.R. No. 14 was adopted by the House on May 17, 1985.

Chief Clerk of the House

Approved:

Date

Governor

and the second of the second second second second second

s.c.R. No. /4

President of the Senate	Speaker of the House
I hereby certify that S.C.R. N	To. $////$ (1) was adopted by the
Senate on $\frac{\text{Mary}(13)}{(2)}$ , 1	985.
	Secretary of the Senate
	No. $\cancel{\cancel{1}}$ (1) was adopted by the
House on(3), 19	885.
<b>0</b>	•
	,
	Chief Clerk of the House
Approved:	
Date	
Governor	

By: Truan

## SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Absolving Chipita Rodriguez of her	r crime.
of the Senate.	Immediate consideration ordered by unanimous consent yeas nays
JAN 21 1985 Read first time and referred to Committee on	
JURISPRUDENCE	
MAR 6 1985 Reported favorably as published	Instituted Read and Adopted.
Ordered not printed by	
MAR 1 3 1985  Language Consideration ordered by	Secretary of the Senate
. (unanimous consent.) yeas, nays.	
MAR 18 1800 Read and Adopted.	
Secretary of the Senate	Jatsy Spaw

MAR 1 4 1985	
171. 1 1 1303	. Received from Senate
MAR 20 1985	. Read first time and referred to Committee on
HPR. 30 1985	. Reported favorably amended and sent to Printer
	Printed and Distributed
MAY 3 1985	Sent to Committee on Calendars 8:58Am
MAY 17 1885	Read and Adopted Failed (as amended) by Non Record Vote
	Record Vote ofyeas present not voting
ring 17 1000	_ Returned to Senate.
	Bers Ju.
	Chief Clerk of the House

MAY 1 7 1985 RETURNED FROM HOUSE

March 14, 1985 Sent to House.